UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,698	05/30/2006	Jens Brandt	S4-03P09159	9692
	7590 05/27/200 E <b>NBERG STEMER</b> LI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 2480		VORTMAN, ANATOLY		
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2835		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/566,698	BRANDT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ANATOLY VORTMAN	2835	

		7 (1) (1 OE1 VOICHWAIT	2000
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE RI	EPLY FILED <u>15 May 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.
a a fo	the reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on opplication, applicant must timely file one of the following opplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appers Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Ceptions:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) 🗌	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
b) 🔀	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
have be under 3: set forth may red	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ins of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exity 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later uce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). E OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of the chartened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	he Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months of the date of
fi N	ing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extention of the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed we be the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed we be the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed we have a support of the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR).	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. 🛛 🗀	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered because
	$(a)$ $oxed{oxtime}$ They raise new issues that would require further con	•	ΓE below);
	o)	•	
•	<ul> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		
(0	I) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>amended clms 12 &amp; 21 raise new issues</u> .		ected claims.
4. 🔲 -	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 🖊	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	:	
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all on-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendment canceling the
h T	for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) but the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: laim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an explanation of
	laim(s) objected to:		
С	laim(s) rejected:		
	laim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
_	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
b w	he affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is necessary and
е	he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o nowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ll and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
		/Anotaly Vartman/	
		/Anatoly Vortman/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2835